

STATE OF VERMONT  
PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD

Docket No. 7227

Amendment No. 5 to Interconnection Agreement     )  
between Verizon New England, Inc., d/b/a Verizon     )  
Vermont, and Lightship Communications, LLC     )

Order entered: 12/21/2006

**ORDER APPROVING AMENDMENT No. 5 TO INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT**

**I. BACKGROUND**

On October 2, 2006, Lightship Communications, LLC ("Lightship") and Verizon New England Inc., d/b/a Verizon Vermont ("Verizon"), requested that, pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "Act"), the Public Service Board ("Board") approve Amendment No. 5 to an Interconnection Agreement ("Amendment") between them.<sup>1</sup>

On October 13, 2006, the Board solicited a recommendation from the Vermont Department of Public Service ("Department"). The Department, by letter dated November 3, 2006, recommended that the Board approve the Amendment in whole, finding that the amended Interconnection Agreement did not violate Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 and that the Amendment did not contain terms that will harm Vermont consumers or competitors.

**II. DISCUSSION**

The Board's review of interconnection agreements is governed by the federal law that authorizes such agreements. Under Subsection 252(a) of the Act, any interconnection agreement negotiated under Section 252(a) must be submitted to the State commission for review under Section 252(e).<sup>2</sup> The State commission has the authority to "approve or reject the agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies." The Board may not reject the proposed

---

<sup>1</sup>The original Interconnection Agreement between Verizon and Lightship was approved by the Board on June 18, 2003, in Docket No. 6837.

<sup>2</sup>Under the Act, the Board is the "State Commission" in Vermont. 47 U.S.C.A. § 3(41).

interconnection agreement in whole or in part unless it finds that the agreement or any material portion thereof discriminates against a non-party carrier or is inconsistent with the public interest. The Board may also establish and enforce other requirements of State law in its review of the agreement under Section 252(e)(3). The Board must act to approve or reject the amendment within 90 days of its submission, or the amendment is deemed approved.<sup>3</sup> The 90-day review period mandated by that section for this Amendment ends on January 2, 2007.

The Interconnection Agreement negotiated by Verizon and Lightship sets out the terms and conditions under which Verizon will make certain services available to Lightship, consistent with orders of the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"). Amendment No. 5 to the Interconnection Agreement implements new FCC unbundling rules governed by the Triennial Review Order and the Order on Remand, in particular regarding unbundled network elements, combinations, and comingling.

The Amendment is the result of arms-length negotiations between two telecommunications carriers. The Board's focus, as the Act provides, is therefore limited to the issues set forth in Section 252(e)(2)(A): whether the Interconnection Agreement, as amended, (or portions thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the Amendment, and whether the amended Interconnection Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. As the Board concluded previously, in making its determination, the Board must focus upon the potential effect of the Amendment on the evolution of competition in this state and whether the amended Interconnection Agreement raises the risk of harm to consumers (and thus is not consistent with the public interest).<sup>4</sup>

The competition enabled by this and other interconnection agreements will likely benefit Vermont consumers and is consistent with the State's telecommunications goals as set out in 30 V.S.A. § 202c and the Telecommunications Plan adopted under Section 202d. At the same time, the Interconnection Agreement, as amended, does not contain terms that will harm consumers or competitors. It thus promotes the public interest.

---

<sup>3</sup>47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(4).

<sup>4</sup>Docket 5905, Order of 11/4/96 at 12.

The Amendment also does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not a party to it. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(i), other companies seeking to interconnect may adopt the same terms and conditions.

Finally, our approval of the Amendment applies only to those terms and conditions set out therein. To the extent parties negotiate modifications or clarifications to the Amendment, they are not subsumed in our approval of the current Amendment. To the extent the changes are material, the parties will need to seek additional approvals from the Board.

### **III. ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Public Service Board of the State of Vermont that:

1. Pursuant to Section 252(e)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Amendment No. 5 to the Interconnection Agreement between Verizon New England Inc., d/b/a Verizon Vermont, and Lightship Communications, LLC, is hereby approved.

2. Verizon and Lightship shall be bound to comply with any lawful requirement imposed by the Board in Docket 5713, Docket 5903, any docket or rule established with respect to E-911 service, and any other docket or rulemaking proceeding governing the obligations of telecommunications carriers in Vermont.

3. Verizon and Lightship shall notify the Board and Department of any modifications to the Interconnection Agreement, as amended, or the establishment of any terms and conditions that the Interconnection Agreement as filed leaves to further negotiations. If necessary, Verizon and Lightship shall seek Board approval for the new or changed terms and conditions.

Dated at Montpelier, Vermont, this 21st day of December, 2006.

<u>s/James Volz</u>	)	PUBLIC SERVICE
	)	
	)	BOARD
<u>s/David C. Coen</u>	)	
	)	OF VERMONT
	)	
<u>s/John D. Burke</u>	)	

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

FILED: December 21, 2006

ATTEST: s/Susan M. Hudson  
Clerk of the Board

*Notice to Readers: This decision is subject to revision of technical errors. Readers are requested to notify the Clerk of the Board (by e-mail, telephone, or in writing) of any apparent errors, in order that any necessary corrections may be made. (E-mail address: psb.clerk@state.vt.us)*

*Appeal of this decision to the Supreme Court of Vermont must be filed with the Clerk of the Board within thirty days. Appeal will not stay the effect of this Order, absent further Order by this Board or appropriate action by the Supreme Court of Vermont. Motions for reconsideration or stay, if any, must be filed with the Clerk of the Board within ten days of the date of this decision and order.*